

September 18–22, 2017

The Itô-Stratonovich Dilemma and the Inverse Problem for the Overdamped Langevin Equation: A Bayesian Approach

<u>Alexander S. Serov</u> Christian L. Vestergaard Jean-Baptiste Masson

E-mail: alexander.serov@pasteur.fr

Decision and Bayesian Computation Group, Department of Neuroscience, CNRS, UMR 3571, Institut Pasteur, 25 Rue du Docteur Roux, Paris, 75015, France

Decision and Bayesian Computations Lab



https://research.pasteur.fr/en/team/decision-and-bayesian-computation/

Outline

1. Decision and Bayesian Computation Lab

- 1.1. Drosophila larvae behavior,
- 1.2. Escale: A data exchange solution,
- 1.3. DIVA: Big data in virtual and augmented reality,

2. TRamWAy (Inference MAP) Project

- 2.1. What and why?
- 2.2. Christian: Inference Without Tracking,
- 2.3. François: Space Tessellation,
- 2.4. The Overdamped Langevin equation & the Itô-Stratonovich dilemma,
- 2.5. The inverse problem,
- 2.6. A Bayesian solution,
- 2.7. Statistical properties,
- 3. Conclusions.

Intracellular diffusivity map



3/31

TRamWAy project

Drosophila Larvae Project

From Brain Structure to Behavior



From Brain Structure to Behavior

We have access to:

- the full connectome of the Drosophila larvae brain (10k neurons),
- annotated videos of larvae
 behavior under optogenetic or sensory stimuli.

Challenge:

Identify function of individual neurons or neural circuits.



Decision making as a multi-armed bandit game

Jean-Baptiste Masson

In collaboration with Janelia Research Campus, USA





François: Data Exchange

The **problem:** file synchronization between clients **behind firewalls**.

Solution (Escale):

- Exchange via **a cloud service**,
- Remove data from the cloud right after transfer,
- Automatically manage size quotas and encryption,
- Support Dropbox, Google Drive, Yandex.Disk (and other WebDAV services), Amazon Cloud Storage, Amazon S3, FTP, Google Cloud Storage, Microsoft OneDrive, etc.
- **Easy install** (available in standard python repository): **pip install escale**



François Laurent Check out Escale (free and open source):

https://github.com/francoislaurent/escale



6/31

Escale: Demonstration

Escale is very **easy** to install and use:

		A A A My Charad Ellor	
S home alice My Repository	Q :: ::	Shome bob My Shared Files	Q ::: :::
big_file.iso			
	big_file.iso_selected (1,6 GB)		
alice@client1 (behind firewall1):~\$		<pre>bob@client2 (behind firewall2):~\$ escale INFO[escale]:log.flush_init_messages: running version 0.6.2 INFO[escale.yandex]:manager.run: repository is up to date</pre>	
I			

https://github.com/francoislaurent/escale

7/31

DIVA Project

Big Data to Virtual and Augmented Reality



Startup: Big Data to Virtual and Augmented Reality (DIVA)



A new tool to work with data:

- visualize 3D data in real time,
- provide an interactive environment,
- simplify data analysis and interpretation.

chromosome separation in mitosis in HeLa Cells [1]







Mohamed El Beheiry



Check out the project:

https://tinyurl.com/DIVA-Pasteur

1. Chen et al., Science **346**, 6208 (2014)

9/31

TRamWAy Project

Aka "Inference MAP". Funding: TRamWAy ANR (2017).



Random Walk as a Probe





Receptor on a kidney cell membrane (1 track) [1]



Glycine **receptor** in a mouse hippocampal neuron (9453 tracks) [3]



Structure of the cell surface.

Intracellular transport.

How to extract information from these data?

Glycine **receptor** (white) interaction with gephyrin scaffold (red) [4 – 5]

Masson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 048103 (2009)
 Masson et al., PNAS 109, 5 (2012)
 El Beheiry et al., Nat. Meth. 12, 7 (2015)
 Masson et al, Nat. Meth. (2015)
 Masson et al, Biophys. J. (2013)

TRamWAy Project

Easy to use: All steps combined in a single piece of software.

TRamWAy (Inference MAP) [1] pipeline as originally proposed in 2015:



General: All kinds of random walks, not only biomolecules.

Free & **open source** (have a look at **GitHub**): <u>https://github.com/DecBayComp/TRamWAy</u>



12/31

Several steps can be improved.

1. El Beheiry et al., Nat. Meth. 12, 7 (2015)

Christian: Inference Without Tracking



At high particle density and low temporal resolution, diffusivity estimate is biased towards lower values:





Christian Vestergaard A probabilistic description taking into account all possible trajectories



BP = Belief propagation

MPA = most probable assignment

François: Space Tessellation

Particles do **not** sample the environment **uniformly.**



Cell size should be optimized:

- choose a correct physical scale (be reasonable),
- minimize cell area (be accurate),
- reduce estimate confidence interval (be precise),
- parallelize computations (be fast).

Used algorithm:

Self-organizing GWR graph (Grow When Required).



Soon available in TRamWAy:

https://github.com/DecBayComp/ TRamWAy

François Laurent





The Itô-Stratonovich Dilemma

The Inverse Problem



Inference: Ambiguous Force Maps

TRamWAy uses the **Overdamped Langevin equation** to perform inference.

The inferred forces allow **different interpretations**.

This is the **Itô-Stratonovich dilemma** for the inverse problem.



Main question:

How to overcome this ambiguity?

17/31 **Overdamped Langevin Equation** The Overdamped Langevin Equation: time local step Masson et al. (2009) force white 6.1 noise overdamped: $dX_t = \frac{f(X_t)}{v(X_t)} \overset{\Psi}{dt} + \sqrt{2D(X_t)} \circ dW_t.$ 6.0 no inertial Position [µm] term 5.9 5.8 local particle 5.7 diffusivity jump at 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 6.0 5.5 time t Position [µm] viscous drag

Note:

The white noise is a zero-mean uncorrelated Wiener process: $W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$.

In biology, the **parameters D**, **f** and γ often **depend on location**.

This equation is ambiguous regarding stochastic integration (°).

1. Masson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 048103 (2009)

Itô-Stratonovich Dilemma



<u>Unclear:</u>

At which point X_t should $a(X_t)$ and $b(X_t)$ be evaluated?

In **deterministic PDEs**, the trajectory does not depend on the choice.

Dilemma: with the OLE, the choice changes the statistical properties of the trajectory.



Direct Problem

In general, consider **an arbitrary choice** of x within the interval $x_0 \le x \le x_1$:

 $x = (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda x_1$ with $0 \le \lambda \le 1$.

If the noise has zero mean, $\langle \Delta W \rangle = 0$, then, on the average

 $\langle a(x)\Delta t \rangle = a(x_0)\Delta t + O(\Delta t^2),$

where averaging is performed over different realizations of the stochastic trajectory.

The force term $\propto \Delta t$ does not depend on λ .

But

$$\langle b(x)\Delta W\rangle = \lambda b(x_0)b'(x_0)\Delta t + O(\Delta t^2).$$

This noise term adds **spurious drift** $\propto \lambda \Delta t$.

 $x_1 - x_0 = a(x)dt + b(x) \circ dW_t$



The chosen convention changes the equilibrium distribution.

Distinguish a Spurious Force

In a real (biological) experiment, **there** is a difference between **spurious** and **non-spurious** forces.

The main difference is seen **in** equilibrium:

- Local forces define the equilibrium distribution of particle locations,
- Spurious forces do not influence the equilibrium distribution [1].



1. van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (1992)

20/31

Only Hänggi convention gives correct physical equilibrium!



1. van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (1992)

Out-of-Equilibrium λ is Unknown

What one sees in experiment:

$$dX_t = a_{\lambda}(X_t) dt + b(X_t) dW_t$$

where

 $a_{\lambda}(x) = a_0(x) + \lambda b(x)b'(x).$

Given a random trajectory, one can infer:

- $a_{\lambda}(x)$ from the **drift**,
- b(x) from the fluctuations,

but there is **no information** about λ .

Basically, we have access to the **total force** $a_{\lambda}(x)$, but we do not know its **composition**.

The contribution of the spurious force is unknown, but bounded.



The inverse problem summary:

- > No difference if b(x) = Const(x),
- All *\lambda* conventions provide
 statistically equivalent descriptions,
- Physics behind is different,
- > One knows b(x).





Bayesian Statistics

The Bayesian approach is based on the Bayes rule [1]:

$$p(\theta \mid x) = \frac{p(x \mid \theta) \pi(\theta)}{p(x)}.$$

It describes the **change in belief** (probability) of a hypothesis given observations x.

Here:

- θ are model parameters (e.g., diffusivity, force),
- $p(x \mid \theta)$ is the **likelihood** of observing data x given parameters θ ,
- $\pi(\theta)$ is the **prior information** about model parameters,
- p(x) is a **normalization factor** independent of the hypothesis,
- $p(\theta|x)$ is the **posterior** distribution (updated belief).

Advantage:

- correctly incorporate prior information,
- **regularize** solution (e.g. penalize strong gradients).

Example [1] (binomial distribution):



1. Gelman et al., Bayesian Data Analysis (2004)

Choosing a Prior

Informative priors

• **Population** interpretation:

Specify a possible range of parameters θ (a uniform prior),

State of knowledge:

Reflect what is known (expected) from previous experiments.

Non-informative (reference) priors

"Let the data speak for themselves".

Prior plays the **minimal role** possible in the posterior distribution.

• Jeffreys prior (invariant to reparametrization):

"Convenient" priors

A conjugate prior provides posterior in the form of the prior and simplifies interpretation.

In any case, information contained in the evidence should outweigh the prior.

25 / 31



 $\pi(\theta) = \left| E \left| \left(\frac{d \log p(x \mid \theta)}{d \theta} \right)^2 \right|.$

Dilemma: The Bayesian Solution

For a given trajectory, a(x)and b(x) are known, so one could write down **an interval of values** of the local force $a_0(x)$:

$|a_0(x) - a(x)| \le |b(x)b'(x)|.$

But if all λ values are **equiprobable**, **not** all values from this interval are **equally likely** (no information).

A correct way to **account for** this **uncertainty** in λ is by using a Bayesian approach.

It allows one to **assign probability** to different local force values.

If **other information** is available, it can be incrorporated by using a **different prior**. To get the PDF, one integrates over λ :

$$p(\theta \mid x) = \int_0^1 \frac{p(x \mid \theta, \lambda) \pi(\theta, \lambda)}{p(x)} d\lambda,$$

26/31

where

- $\theta = (a(x), b(x))$ are the **drift** and **diffusivity** of the OLE,
- $p(x|\theta)$ is the **likelihood** of the trajectory given parameters θ ,
- $\pi(\theta, \lambda)$ is the **prior** distribution,
- p(x) is a **normalization factor** independent of λ or θ .

The proposed method takes into account the uncertainty in the estimate of λ .

Posterior Distribution

The distributions marginalized over λ are wider than fixed λ distributions



Accuracy of the Method

An **example** of varying diffusivity and uniform force:



On the average, the method is **accurate**:



28 / 31

Precision of the Method



29 / 31

Conclusions & Open Questions

Conclusions:

- One **cannot directly estimate** the local force,
- Range of possible spurious force contributions is known,
- Our **Bayesian approach** takes into account the uncertainty in λ ,
- It assigns probability to the values of the local force.

Advantages:

- Smaller bias than fixed-λ methods,
- More robust confidence intervals.



Thank you for your attention!

Questions:

Practical:

- Incorporate the method into TRamWAy,
- Identify local forces in real experimental data.

General:

- For systems with more information, a non-uniform λ prior can be used.
- Which value of λ* does Nature use in out-of-equilibrium systems?
- This result can be applied **outside biology** (economics, hydrodynamics or molecular dynamics simulations).



Open Positions in the DBC Lab

Brain connectome, behavior, networks:

- The Virtual C. *Elegans*: Reinforcement Learning (a 3-6 months **internship**);
- From Brain Structure to Behavior (a Ph.D. project).





Random walks, dynamics inference:

 Variational Inference of Neuroreceptor Dynamics in Synapses (a Postdoctoral project, funding: ANR TRamWAy).





DIVA, augmented and virtual reality:

- Augmented Reality Intern (a 3-6 months internship);
- Machine Learning Intern (a 3-6 months internship).

